

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,002	09/05/2003	Steven A. Reese	044182 / 305880	7517
7590 93/17/2008  Pillsbury Winthrop LLP Intellectual Property Group Suite 200 11682 El Camino Real			EXAMINER	
			BOECKMANN, JASON J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Diego, CA 92130-2092			3752	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

(1) Jacon I Decelmonn

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/656,002
 REESE ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 Jason J. Boeckmann
 3752

(2) Chana Curanaan

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) dason o. boeckmann.	(5) Ghane Gwanson.					
(2) <u>Anthony Smith</u> .	(4)Paul Goodwin.					
Date of Interview: 10 March 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 9</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Wagner et al. (4,262,991).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached.	g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A.					

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The differences between the claimed invention (independent claims 1 and 9) and the Wagner reference were discussed and suggestions/ideas were made on how to amend around the Wagner reference.</u>

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/J. J. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3752 3/10/2008 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

U.S. Patent and Tradedomark Office
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Interview Summary Paper No. 20080310